

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,149	04/23/2004	Yasurou Matsuzaki	108066-00105	7831
4372	7590 11/04/2005		EXAM	INER
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.		1	NGUYEN, VAN THU T	
SUITE 400	011001111102,111	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		2824	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E	-W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	10/830,149	MATSUZAKI, YASURO	U
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VanThu Nguyen	2824	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on with	Charles Marmelstein on	<u>11/2/05</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-45</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19,20 and 30-45</u> is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from consid	eration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2004 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		3 · · · · ( · · / · · / · / · / · / · · · ·	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No. 09/841,611.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			)
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/23/04</u>.</li> </ul>	) 5) ☐ Notice of ( 6) ☐ Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/830,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 19-45 are pending.

- 2. Claims 21-29 are present for examination.
- 3. During a conversation with Applicant's representative Charles Marmelstein, on November 2, 2005, the following issues have been discussed:
  - (a) Applicant agrees to cancel claims 19-20 and 30-45.

However, an Examiner's Amendment is not made as agreement has not reached, claims 19-20 and 30-45 can only be withdrawn from consideration for now. A formal request for cancellation of claims 19-20 and 30-45 is required in the next response.

(b) Applicant does not agree to correct the 112 problems in claim 21 without Examiner's statement that they are only for clarification, and not changing the scope of the invention.

Therefore, the Office Action is as follows:

### **Specification**

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Abstract should avoid using phrase such as "According to the present invention" on lines 1 and 13-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,149 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 21 line 4, limitation "for M external operation cycles (M≥2)" is not clear.

Suggested change is --for M external operation cycles, where M is greater than or equal to 2--.

In claim 21 line 5, it is not clear what apparently means in "where N is greater than (M<N<2M)" because the Office gives no patentable weight for limitations in parenthesis of a claim. Suggested change is --where M is greater than M and less than 2M--.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 21-29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art made of record and considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed limitations. Christenson (Patent No. 6,587,918) and Miyata et al. (Patent No. 5,323,352), taken individually or in combination, do not teach the claimed invention having a memory control circuit for M external operation cycles, where M is greater or equal to 2, has N internal operation cycles, where N is greater than M and less than 2M, in combination with the remaining claimed limitations.

Christenson discloses, in FIG. 4-6, a memory circuit having inherent DRAM memory, a refresh command generation circuit 52 for generating refresh request, a memory control circuit

Application/Control Number: 10/830,149

Art Unit: 2824

54 which carry out two read and one refresh operations for memory banks from external commands. Christenson does not disclose said memory control circuit 54 having for M external operation cycles, where M is greater or equal to 2, has N internal operation cycles, where N is greater than M and less than 2M.

Miyata et al. disclose, in FIGS. 1-2, a memory circuit having memory core 105; a refresh command generation circuit 101 which generates refresh commands CR; a memory control circuit 104 having a total of six (N) internal operation cycles (refresh-access-refresh-access-refresh-access) in response to all six (M) external operation cycles issued by CI and CR commands. Therefore, Miyata et al do not teach a memory control circuit for M external operation cycles, where M is greater or equal to 2, has N internal operation cycles, where N is greater than M and less than 2M.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VanThu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,149 Page 5

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 2, 2005

VanThu Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2824